

State Fiscal Stabilization Fund Program Requirements – Phase II

Table 3.a Achieving Equity in Teacher Distribution

Summary: To enable State officials, parents, the Department of Education, local educators and other key stakeholders to measure States’ progress towards improving teacher effectiveness and achieving equity in the distribution of teachers and principals, States will need to collect, publish, and analyze basic information about how districts evaluate teacher and principal effectiveness and distribute their highly qualified and effective teachers among schools. The objective is to highlight inequities that result in low-income and minority students being taught by inexperienced, unqualified, out-of-field or ineffective teachers at higher rates than other students. Similarly, because principals play a critical role in teaching and learning, it is important to highlight inequities that result in low-income and minority students being taught in schools overseen by ineffective principals at higher rates than other students.

Citation	Description	Rationale
Descriptor (a)(1)	Describe, for each local education agency (LEA) in the State, the systems used to evaluate the performance of teachers and the use of results from those systems in decisions regarding teacher development, compensation, promotion, retention, and removal.	Teacher evaluation systems typically reflect a holistic view of teacher performance, and as such are an important information source for assessing the distribution of effective teachers.

Directions: Please include the following information on the local school system's designated website reporting the evaluation systems of teachers.

Teacher Evaluation Systems:

The description of the teacher evaluation system must explain how evaluation results are used in decisions regarding each of the following: teacher professional development, compensation, promotion, retention and removal.

HCPS Response: *Evaluation of teachers is based on established performance standards which include observation of instruction and criteria identified in Characteristics of a Competent teacher. Additionally, the evaluation process includes development of the individual's professional development goals. Key components of the observation instrument include instructional planning, lesson implementation, pupil involvement, management and organization, and professional characteristics. Indicators within these components are tied to state curriculum outcomes and student achievement. Teachers exhibiting weaknesses in any of the observation components are placed on assistance plans accordingly. This professional development allows administrators and supervisors to meet the identified needs of individual teachers directly connected to their instructional practices. Additionally, as principals determine a need for specific professional development for their instructional staffs, the HCPS teacher calendar designates 5 teacher days for the implementation of targeted professional development at the school level. Currently there is no performance pay or performance compensation for teachers other than the established salary scale. Promotional opportunities for teachers are advertised as needed and require 3 to 5 years of successful teaching experience in addition to job-specific qualifications. The teacher observation and evaluation process is used to determine retention and dismissal.*

Directions: **Please provide the link to this information on the LSS's designated website.**

HCPS Response: *This information can be found on the hcps.org website at this link:*

<http://www.hcps.org/boe/masterplan.aspx>

State Fiscal Stabilization Fund Program Requirements – Phase II

Table 3.a Achieving Equity in Teacher Distribution

Citation	Description	Rationale
Indicator (a)(3)	Indicate, for each LEA in the State, whether the systems used to evaluate the performance of teachers include student achievement outcomes or student growth as an evaluation criterion.	Evaluation systems that include student achievement outcomes yield reliable assessments of teacher performance. Knowing if an evaluation system includes these outcomes informs the value of teacher performance ratings.

Directions: Please mark "Yes" or "No".

Evaluation Systems Include Achievement Outcomes or Student Growth

_____ Yes, the systems used to evaluate the performance of teachers include student achievement outcomes **or student growth** as an evaluation criterion.

If Yes, please respond (check one):

_____ **Student achievement outcomes** are included as an evaluation criterion.

_____ **Student growth** is included as an evaluation criterion.

 X No, the systems used to evaluate the performance of teachers do not include student achievement outcomes or student growth as an evaluation criterion.

State Fiscal Stabilization Fund Program Requirements – Phase II

Table 3.a Achieving Equity in Teacher Distribution

Citation	Description	Rationale
Indicator (a)(4)	Provide, for each LEA in the State whose teachers receive performance ratings or levels through an evaluation system, the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) of teachers rated at each performance rating or level.	Ratings from teacher evaluation systems further highlight the strengths and weaknesses of those systems and provide valuable information on the distribution of effective teachers across districts.

Directions: Please complete the table below by listing each of the rating or performance levels in the LEA's performance evaluation systems, and the number and percentage of teachers rated at each performance rating or level.

Performance Rating or Level	Number of Teachers	Percentage of Teachers
Performing Unsatisfactorily	29/2440	1.2
Causing Concern	67/2440	2.7
Meeting Initial Expectations	264/2440	10.8
Performing Satisfactorily	2080/2440	85.2
TOTAL:	2440/2440	100.0

Directions: **Please provide the link to this information on the LSS's designated website.**

HCPS Response: This information can be found on the hcps.org website at this link:

<http://www.hcps.org/boe/masterplan.aspx>

State Fiscal Stabilization Fund Program Requirements – Phase II

Table 3.a Achieving Equity in Teacher Distribution

Citation	Description	Rationale
Indicator (a)(5)	Indicate, for each LEA in the State whose teachers receive performance ratings or levels through an evaluation system, whether the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) of teachers rated at each performance rating or level are publicly reported for each school in the LEA.	To the extent information on the distribution of teacher performance ratings is readily accessible by school, State officials, parents and other key stakeholders can identify and address inequities in the distribution of effective teachers on an ongoing basis.

Directions: Please mark "Yes" or "No".

Yes, the number and percentage of teachers rated at each performance rating or level are **publicly reported.**

No, the number and percentage of teachers rated at each performance rating or level are not publicly reported.

Please provide the link to this information on the LSS's designated website.

HCPS Response: This information can be found on the hcps.org website at this link:

<http://www.hcps.org/boe/masterplan.aspx>

State Fiscal Stabilization Fund Program Requirements – Phase II

Table 3.a Achieving Equity in Teacher Distribution

Citation	Description	Rationale
Descriptor (a)(2)	Describe, for each LEA in the State, the systems used to evaluate the performance of principals and the use of results from those systems in decisions regarding principal development, compensation, promotion, retention, and removal.	

Directions: Please include the following information on the local school system's designated website reporting the evaluation systems of principals.

Principal Evaluation Systems:

The description of the principal evaluation system must explain how evaluation results are used in decisions regarding each of the following: principal professional development, compensation, promotion, retention and removal.

***HCPS Response:** The evaluation of principals is based on established performance criteria which include five key domains: Student Achievement; School/Workplace Culture; Environmental Management Skills; Parent and Community Relationships; and Leadership, Knowledge and Skills. The principal evaluation form has an overall domain for student achievement that includes specific indicators which are based on the ISLC Standards. Student achievement data are included in the School Improvement Plans, and AYP is used as a factor in the evaluation process. Performance goals are tied to student achievement data. Professional development is available for new principals. Additional professional development opportunities are provided per principal or director request relative to performance evaluations. HCPS provides performance adjustment increases for principals linked directly to the evaluation process. Past performance tied to evaluations is a factor when considering principal promotion. The evaluation process includes stipulations for retention and/or removal of any principal not meeting improvement plan requirements.*

Directions: **Please provide the link to this information on the LSS's designated website.**
HCPS Response: This information can be found on the hcps.org website at this link:

<http://www.hcps.org/boe/masterplan.aspx>

State Fiscal Stabilization Fund Program Requirements – Phase II

Table 3.a Achieving Equity in Teacher Distribution

Citation	Description	Rationale
Indicator (a)(6)	Indicate, for each LEA in the State, whether the systems used to evaluate the performance of principals include student achievement outcomes or student growth data as an evaluation criterion.	Evaluation systems that include student achievement outcomes yield reliable assessments of teacher performance. Knowing if an evaluation system includes these outcomes informs the value of teacher performance ratings.

Directions: Please mark "Yes" or "No".

Evaluation Systems Include Achievement Outcomes or Student Growth

Yes, the systems used to evaluate the performance of principals include student achievement outcomes **or student growth** as an evaluation criterion.

If Yes, please respond (check one):

Student achievement outcomes are included as an evaluation criterion.

Student growth is included as an evaluation criterion.

No, the systems used to evaluate the performance of principals do not include student achievement outcomes or student growth as an evaluation criterion.

State Fiscal Stabilization Fund Program Requirements – Phase II

Table 3.a Achieving Equity in Teacher Distribution

Citation	Description	Rationale
Indicator (a)(7)	Provide, for each LEA in the State whose principals receive performance ratings or levels through an evaluation system, the number and percentage (including numerator and denominator) of principals rated at each performance rating or level.	Ratings from principal evaluation systems further highlight the strengths and weaknesses of those systems and provide valuable information on the distribution of effective principals across districts.

Directions: Please complete the table below by listing each of the rating or performance levels in the LEA's performance evaluation systems, and the number and percentage of principals rated at each performance rating or level.

Performance Rating or Level	Number of Principals	Percentage of Principals
Distinguished	17	33%
Highly Proficient	23	44%
Proficient	6	12%
Unsuccessful	0	0
New - not yet rated	6	12%
Total: 52		

Please provide the link to this information on the LSS's designated website.

HCPS Response: This information can be found on the hcps.org website at this link:

<http://www.hcps.org/boe/masterplan.aspx>