BOARD OF EDUCATION STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Master Plan Goal 1. Ensure a safe, positive learning environment for students and staff in our schools.	Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007	Projected FY 2008	Projected FY 2009
(NCLB) Goal 4. All students will be educated in learning environments that are afe, drug free, and conducive to learning. Other indicators: Student Services, Office of School Counseling: Program Goal: Support schools PreK-12 in the Academic, Career Development and Personal/Social Domains.						
Objective: Provide sufficient personnel and resources to serve all student Prek-12. Input Indicators:						
Number of Students	40,200	40,294	40,212	39,582	39,175	39,16
Number of Counselors with traditional assignments	89.6	90.2	89.3	93.5	94.7	97.3
Counselor-Student Ratio	1 to 449	1 to 447	1 to 450	1 to 425	1 to 417	1 to 39°
Percent of Counselor time spent in direct service to students						
Elementary	50.0%	-	50.0%	47.0%	46.4%	-
Middle	44.0%	-	48.9%	46.0%	47.6%	-
High	56.0%	-	62.0%	59.0%	59.5%	-

Output Indicators:

1 to 250 Counselor-Student Ratio as per national recommended standard 70% of time spent in direct service to student

academic growth. Find and build on every student's motivation. Master Plan Goal 2. Accelerate student learning and eliminate the achievement gaps.	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Projected	Projected
	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
(NCLB) Goal 1. By 2013-2014, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.						
ESEA Performance Indicators:						
• The percentage of students, in the aggregate and for each subgroup, who are at or						
above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the state's assessment.						
ALL Students	76.1%	78.8%	79.3%	82.2%	-	_
American Indian	69.9%	71.6%	79.6%	81.2%	-	-
Asian	80.9%	86.1%	87.2%	89.2%	-	-
African American	57.2%	60.2%	62.8%	65.9%	-	-
White	80.1%	83.1%	83.1%	86.3%	-	-
Hispanic	66.1%	71.8%	73.5%	75.9%	-	-
FaRMS	56.9%	62.3%	63.6%	65.9%	-	-
SE	45.4%	50.0%	52.3%	54.6%	-	-
ELL	58.0%	57.2%	60.4%	66.5%	-	-
The percentage of students, in the aggregate and for each subgroup, who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the state's assessment.						
ALL Students	65.4%	69.0%	74.0%	77.0%	-	-
American Indian	66.7%	62.7%	69.9%	71.4%	-	-
Asian	80.7%	84.4%	89.5%	90.6%	-	-
African American	43.7%	46.5%	53.5%	58.1%	-	-
White	69.4%	73.9%	78.6%	81.5%	-	-
Hispanic	60.3%	60.4%	68.8%	72.8%	-	-
FaRMS	49.3%	50.2%	55.2%	60.1%	-	-
SE	34.8%	38.2%	43.3%	48.8%	-	-
ELL	52.9%	60.7%	63.9%	69.4%	-	-
The percentage of Title I schools that make adequate yearly progress	12.5%	12.5%	100.0%	-	-	-

Strategic Plan Goal # 2. Every student achieves personal and academic growth. Develop and deliver high quality instruction that elevates every student. Master Plan Goal 2. Accelerate student learning and eliminate the						
achievement gaps.	Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007	Projected FY 2008	Projected FY 2009
(NCLB) Goal 2. All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics. ESEA Performance Indicators:						
 The percentage of limited English proficient students, determined by cohort, who have attained English proficiency by the end of the school year. The percentage of limited English proficient students who are at or above the 	30.8%	18.5%	12.1%	-	-	-
proficient level in reading/language arts on the state's assessment. The percentage of limited English proficient students who are at or above the	58.0%	57.2%	60.4%	-	-	-
proficient level in mathematics on the state's assessment.	52.9%	60.7%	63.9%	-	-	-
(NCLB) Goal 5. All students will graduate from high school. ESEA Performance Indicators: • The percentage of students who graduate from high school each year with a regular diploma, a. uisaggregated by face entincity, genuer, disability status, infigrant status, English Proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged; and calculated in the same manner used in the National Center for Education Statistics reports on Common Core of Data.	86.7%	89.0%	87.2%	87.1%	-	-
• The percentage of students who drop out of school,	2.6%	3.1%	3.2%	3.2%	-	-
Other Indicators: Education Services: Program Goal: To meet the state requirement to implement full-day kindergarten. Objective: To implement full-day kindergarten in the elementary schools on a scheduled basis.						
Input Indicator: # of classes having Full-Day Kindergarten programs in the County. Output Indicator: % of full-day kindergarten classes implemented as to a % of total kindergarten classes.	36 23.3%	32 43.4%	42 69.8%	158 100%	158 100%	-

Strategic Plan Goal # 3. Every student connects with great employees. Recruit and retain high quality, diverse workforce. Master Plan Goal 1. Ensure a safe, positive learning environment of students and staff in our schools.	Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007*	Projected FY 2008	Projected FY 2009
Other Indicators:						
Human Resources:						
Program Goal: Compliance with Family Law Article						
Objective: Process background checks on all HCPS employees and substitutes						
Input Indicators: Number of employees and substitutes processed	1,277	1,100	1,537	1,265	2,000	2,200
Output Indicators: Increase in the number processed versus prior year	-	-16.1%	28.4%	-17.7%	58.1%	10.0%
Strategic Plan Goal # 3. Every student connects with great employees. Recruit and retain high quality, diverse workforce. Master Plan Goal 2. Accelerate student learning and eliminate the achievement gaps.	Antual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Projected	Projected
achievement gaps.	Actual FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	Actual FY 2007*	FY 2008	FY 2009
(NCLB) Goal 1. By 2013-2014, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics. Other Indicators: Human Resources Program Goal: All classes are taught by highly qualified teachers Objective: Increase the number of classes taught by highly qualified teachers Input indicators: Number of classes taught Output Indicators: Increase in number of classes taught by highly qualified teachers Note: * Total number of classes reduced based on change in reporting method for elementary and shift to block scheduling at secondary level.	7,693 80.1%	7,279 88.9%	8,261 89.3%	3,770 88.2%	3,848 90.0%	3,850 91.0%
(NCLB) Goal 2. All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics. Other Indicators: Human Resources Program Goal: All classes are taught by highly qualified teachers Objective: Decrease the number of teachers holding conditional certificates Input indicators: State average percentage of teachers holding conditional certificates Output Indicators: HCPS percentage of teachers holding conditional certificates	11.3% 3.5%	9.2% 3.1%	9.2% 3.5%	7.8% 3.3%	8.5% 3.0%	8.5% 2.8%

Strategic Plan Goal # 3. Every student connects with great employees. Recruit & retain a high quality, diverse workforce. Master Plan Goal 4. Understanding that all employees contribute to						
the learning environment, we will maintain a highly qualified workforce.	Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007	Projected FY 2008	Projected FY 2009
(NCLB) Goal 3. By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by "highly qualified staff."						
ESEA Performance Indicators:						
• The percentage of classes being taught by "highly qualified" teachers						
in the aggregate and in "high-poverty" schools.						
a) in the aggregate	80.1%	88.9%	92.0%	99.8%	100.0%	100.09
b) in "high-poverty" schools						
Bakerfield Elem	91.1%	98.9%	99.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
Edgewood Elem	85.9%	98.8%	99.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
George Lisby Elem	89.9%	97.2%	99.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
Hall's Crossroads Elem	77.5%	90.6%	93.0%	99.8%	99.8%	100.09
Havre de Grace Elem	61.9%	80.2%	93.6%	99.8%	99.8%	100.0
Magnolia Elem	80.5%	88.7%	91.7%	99.5%	99.5%	100.09
Roye-Williams Elem	76.9%	84.6%	87.6%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
William Paca Elem	84.1%	93.9%	96.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
 The percentage of teachers receiving "high quality professional development". 						
The percentage of paraprofessionals (excluding those with sole duties)						
as translators and parental involvement assistants) who are highly qualified.	76.3%	81.9%	95.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.09
Other Indicators: Human Resources: Program Goal: To hire replacement and new staff/teachers. Objective: To improve the number of highly qualified staff. Input Indicators:						
Number of new teachers hired for current school year	263	213	419	301	355	36
Number of new teachers hired returning after first year	-	-	375	368	265	32
Output Indicators:						
Increase by % in highly qualified staff	-	8.8%	3.1%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0
Percentage of teachers returning	-	_	89.0%	88.0%	88.0%	89.0

Strategic Plan Goal # 3. Every student connects with great employees. Recruit & retain a high quality, diverse workforce. Master Plan Goal 4. Understanding that all employees contribute to the learning environment, we will maintain a highly qualified						
workforce.	Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007 *	Projected FY 2008 **	Projected FY 2009
(NCLB) Goal 3. By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by "highly qualified staff."						
Other Indicators:						
Human Resources:						
Program Goal: Retain Highly qualified teachers						
Objective: Maintain current retention rates						
Input Indicators: Retention Rate	92.4%	93.0%	92.5%	91.5%	93.0%	93.0%
Output Indicators: HCPS retention ranking vs. market area	2nd	1st	2nd	3rd	2nd	2nd
Other Indicators:						
Human Resources:						
Program Goal: Recruit highly qualified teacher candidates						
Objective : Increase the number of applications received						
Input Indicators: Number of teacher applications received	1,046	1,320	1,450	1,848	3,634	3,997
Output Indicators: Increase in number of applications vs. prior year	-	20.8%	9.0%	27.4%	15.0%	10.0%
Note: * The number of applications reported for FY 2007 reflects the 7 month period since to Note: ** FY 2009 projections are based on annualized FY 2007 figures.	the implementation	on of the on-line	teacher applica	tion process.		
(NCLB) Goal 3. By 2005-2006, all students will be taught by "highly qualified						
staff."						
staff." Student Services, Office of School Counseling: Program Goal: Highly Qualified Professional School Counselors in all schools						
Student Services, Office of School Counseling: Program Goal: Highly Qualified Professional School Counselors in all schools						
Student Services, Office of School Counseling:						
Student Services, Office of School Counseling: Program Goal: Highly Qualified Professional School Counselors in all schools counselors	10	9	22	10	21	-
Student Services, Office of School Counseling: Program Goal: Highly Qualified Professional School Counselors in all schools counselors Input Indicators:	10	9	22	10	21	-
Student Services, Office of School Counseling: Program Goal: Highly Qualified Professional School Counselors in all schools counselors Input Indicators: School counseling vacancies	10	9	22	10	21	-

Strategic Plan Goal # 4. Every Student benefits from accountable adults. Improve Operational efficiency and effectiveness. Master Plan Goal 1. Ensure a safe, positive learning environment for students and staff in our schools.	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Projected	Projected
	FY 2004	FY 2005	FY 2006	FY 2007	FY 2008	FY 2009
(NCLB) Goal 4. All students will be educated in learning environments that are						
safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.						
ESEA Performance Indicator:						
Other Indicators:						
Transportation:						
Program Goal: To achieve maximum safety in transporting of students.						
Objective: Maintain the safest school bus transportation for students.						
Input indicators:						
Number of buses	392	394	397	431	437	443
Number of Students Transported	34,824	35,119	35,891	34,968	36,500	36,500
Number of miles traveled	6,383,038	6,452,729	6,738,632	6,958,926	7,200,000	7,400,000
Number of accidents	69	74	50	63	74	74
Output Indicators:						
Number of preventable accidents	42	34	19	37	35	28
% of Preventable accidents to total accidents	61%	46%	38%	59%	47%	38%
Number of miles per bus traveled	16,283	16,377	16,974	16,146	16,475	16,704
Number of miles traveled per preventable accidents	151,977	189,786	354,665	1,898,080	205,715	264,286

adults. Obtain and op Plan Goal # 3. Ensure	4 Every Student benefits from accountable timize use of adequate resources. Master the effective use of all resources focusing on ogy, fiscal and budgetary management, and						
community partnersh	ips.	Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007	Projected FY 2008	Projected FY 2009
Other Indicators:							
Business Services, Finance	:						
expanding user flexibility and low dollar purchases that are	our chasing process by streamlining small dollar purchases, and increasing efficiency. The card enables employees to make an encessary for HCPS operations. Use of the P Card provides are and substantially reduces the administrative paperwork paying for low dollar items.						
Input Indicators:	# of P Card Transactions	14,355	17,813	26,579	31,776	34,636	-
•	Dollar Value of P Card Transactions	\$4,528,154	\$5,910,548	\$10,504,028	\$11,244,695	\$12,353,104	-
	Average Dollar Value of P Card Transactions	\$315.46	\$331.81	\$395.20	\$353.87	\$357	-
	Accounts Payable Checks Issued	17,434	15,817	16,071	15,471	15,007	-
	Purchase Order Issued	7,276	6,131	5,185	1,085	3,391	-
Output Indicators:	# of checks reduced by using P Card	1,443	1,617	1,871	600	464	-
	# of Purchase Orders reduced by using P Card	1,728	1,145	946	1,100	694	-
	\$ amount of P Card Rebates from Utilization	-	\$8,070	\$22,000	\$34,077	\$43,459	-
	Check Processing Cost Savings Per Year (Cumulative)	\$47,631	\$54,467	\$53,432	\$56,112	\$56,673	-

Strategic Plan Goal # 4 Every Student benefits from accountable adults. Obtain and optimize use of adequate resources. Master Plan Goal # 3. Ensure the effective use of all resources focusing on the areas of technology, fiscal and budgetary management, and						
community partnerships.	Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007	Projected FY 2008	Projected FY 2009
Other Indicators:						
Business Services, Purchasing:						
Program Goal: To achieve administrative efficiencies in the procurement business process by reducing the number of formal sealed bids over \$25,000. Objective: Sealed bids are required for procurements over \$25,000. Alternative procurements methods, such as piggyback award from a contract award by another public agency, will leverage economies of scale regarding price and at the same time achieve administrative efficiencies by reducing the number of formal bids that are much more labor intensive and require advertising and bonding. Input Indicators:						
Number of sealed bids	74	63	55	51	48	43
Average # of hours to issue one sealed bid 6.5 hours	481.0	409.5	357.5	331.5	312.0	279.5
Labor cost to issue one sealed bid \$225 per hour	\$108,225	\$92,025	\$80,437	\$74,587	\$70,200	\$62,887
Output Indicators: Labor dollar savings in reduction in formal sealed bids	\$19,012	\$16,200	\$11,588	\$5,850	\$4,387	\$7,313
Rebates from Office Depot Contract	-	\$8,809	\$11,772	\$14,715	\$18,393	\$22,991

Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007	Projected FY 2008	Projected FY 2009
	•		•	•	•
for instruction for	HCPS.				
-	-	21	50	55	70
-	-	169	197	200	200
-	-	472	386	400	400
-	-	18	20	19	20
-	-	21	76	55	70
-	-	169	197	200	200
-	-	421	386	400	400
-	-	12,565	14,138	14,000	14,000
-	-	\$2,459	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$1,500
-	-	\$150,000	\$100,866	\$50,000	\$175,000
•	FY 2004	FY 2004 FY 2005 for instruction for HCPS.	FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 for instruction for HCPS. 21 169 472 - 18 21 - 169 421 - 12,565 - \$2,459	FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 for instruction for HCPS. 21 50 - 169 197 - 472 386 18 20 21 76 - 169 197 - 421 386 - 18 20 - 169 197 - 421 386 - 12,565 14,138 - \$2,459 \$1,500	FY 2004 FY 2005 FY 2006 FY 2007 FY 2008 for instruction for HCPS. - - 21 50 55 - - 169 197 200 - - 472 386 400 - - 18 20 19 - - 169 197 200 - - 421 386 400 - - 421 386 400 - - 12,565 14,138 14,000 - - \$2,459 \$1,500 \$1,500

Strategic Plan Goal # 5. Every Student feels comfortable going to school. Maintain safe, secure, and comfortable schools that meet students needs. Master Plan Goal 1. Ensure a safe, positive learning environment for students and staff in our schools.	Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007	Projected FY 2008	Projected FY 2009
(NCLB) Goal 4. All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.						
Other Indicators: Planning and Construction						
Program Goal: Construction of schools which provide safe, secure and healthy teaching and learning environments.						
Objective: Construction of projects on schedule and within budget. Input indicators: Value of State and Local Capital Program Output Indicators: Major projects completed and/or occupied (does not include relocatables, or aging schools)	\$23,547,386	\$40,105,104	\$66,693,473	\$63,075,209	\$134,391,333	\$44,987,429
Additions	1	-	1	-	3	1
Renovations/Modernizations New Schools	1	-	-	1	-	4 2
Systemic Projects Technology	3	4 -	3	1 -	3 -	3

Strategic Plan Goal # 5. Every Student feels comfortable going to school. Maintain safe, secure, and comfortable schools that meet students needs. Master Plan Goal 1. Ensure a safe, positive learning environment						
for students and staff in our schools.	Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007	Projected FY 2008	Projected FY 2009
(NCLB) Goal 4. All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.						
 The number of persistently dangerous schools as defined by the State. Other Indicators: Safety and Security 	0	0	0	0	0	0
Program Goal: To enhance security within Harford County Public Schools by integrating safety into the fabric of the school system. Objective: To proactive address concerns that effect the safety of our schools						
Input indicators:						
Number of Schools	50	51	51	51	52	53
Number of Students	40,200	40,294	40,212	39,582	39,175	39,167
Number of Employees	4,700	4,765	5,031	5,182	5,305	5,368
Output Indicators:						
Number of School with Critical Incident Plans	50	51	51	51	52	53
Number of School with Remote Door Access	2	13	18	26	36	46
Number of Schools with Surveillance Cameras	1	6	8	14	16	18
Number of Schools with School Resource Officers	9	10	12	13	13	13
Number of schools provided Gang Awareness Training	0	51	51	51	53	53
Number of Evacuation Drills	552	558	563	572	-	-
Number of Banning Letters Issued Incident Reports	72 442	42 486	55 551	66 378	55 550	55 550

Strategic Plan Goal # 5. Every Student feels comfortable going to school. Maintain safe, secure, and comfortable schools that meet						
students needs. Master Plan Goal 1. Ensure a safe, positive learning environment						
for students and staff in our schools.	Actual FY 2004	Actual FY 2005	Actual FY 2006	Actual FY 2007	Projected FY 2008	Projected FY 2009
(NCLB) Goal 4. All students will be educated in learning environments that are						
safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.						
ESEA Performance Indicator:						
The number of persistently dangerous schools as defined by the State.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Other Indicators:						
Facilities Management & Utility Resource Management						
Program Goal: To maximize our efficiency in maintaining safe buildings for students.						
Objective: Maintain the safest school buildings for students.						
Input indicators:						
Number of schools	50	51	51	52	53	53
Square footage maintained (in millions)	5.2	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.8	5.8
Output Indicators:						
Number of work orders submitted	17,593	17,947	15,665	16,160	20,892	20,892
Number of work orders completed	15,100	15,539	13,160	15,738	15,738	15,738
% of completed work orders to submitted work orders	85.8%	86.6%	84.0%	97.4%	75.3%	75.3%