1. Which of the following best describes your relationship to HCPS?

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Instructional staff (e.g., teacher, reading specialist, special	1385	80.7%						
Support Staff (e.g., Educational Support Professional, inclusion	233	13.6%						
School-based administrator (e.g., principal, assistant principal,	59	3.4%						
Central office administrator (e.g., content supervisors,	10	0.6%						
Central office staff	8	0.5%						
Other	21	1.2%						

## Other Responses:

- \* SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGIST
- OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST
- \* RELATED SERVICE PROVIDER
- \* TEACHER SPECIALIST
- LEARNING SUPPORT CENTER ASSISTANT
- \* LONG-TERM SUB
- \* LONG-TERM SUBSTITUTE
- LEAD SECRETARY
- \* LONG TERM SUBSTITUTE
- \* JUDY CENTER COORDINATOR
- \* SPECIAL ED TEACHER SPECALIST
- \* SOCIAL WORKER
- \* RELATED SERVICE PROVIDER
- LONG TERM SUBSTITUTE
- \* SOCIAL WORKER
- \* TEACHER SPECIALIST
- \* SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGIST
- INSTRUCTIONAL COACH
- \* OCCUPATIONAL THERAPIST
- SKA
- \* RELATED SERVICES

2. What grade(s) do you primarily teach or provide support to? Check all that apply

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Prekindergarten	122	7.0%						
Kindergarten	307	17.6%						
1st grade	305	17.5%						
2nd grade	309	17.7%						
3rd grade	300	17.2%						
4th grade	323	18.5%						
5th grade	330	18.9%						
6th grade	241	13.8%						
7th grade	234	13.4%						
8th grade	243	13.9%						
9th grade	358	20.5%						
10th grade	388	22.2%						
11th grade	402	23.1%						
12th grade	378	21.7%						
Special Services Team (e.g., reading specialist, special educator,	102	5.8%						
Other	57	3.3%						

## Other Responses:

- I do not teach or provide direct support to students.
- 3 year olds
- · CŠP
- Strive
- p-k through 8th
- · CSP
- k-12 special education
- JAS
- Media
- Special Edcuation
- music
- K-5
- \* 2 ALT students in 3rd and 5th
- OTH
- Birth -21
- Entire school

- Special Ed
- Certificate Program
- reading interventions
- Daiily Ľife Skills
- K-5th
- Special Edcation 3-4 year olds
- Life Skill students
- K-5
- STRIVE
- Library
- STRIVE
- \* Elementary grades 1st through 6th
- \* all elementary 1-6
- \* John Archer School services children ages 3-21
- 0-21
- \* STRIVE Life Skills
- \* STRIVE Life Skills
- \* Also provide assitance to parents and instructional staff.
- K-5
- STRIVE
- OTH
- ELL
- \* High school credit wl in 8th grade
- birth-age 5
- OTH
- Adapted Physical Education k-12
- ESOL
- Harford Glen/Science instruction
- · OTH
- birth-21
- all
- · OTH • k-5
- \* In School Suspension Technician
- Life Skill Students
- Cafeteria
- OTH
- itinerant related service provider across all grade levels
- \* John Archer School Special Areas-prek-12+
- Daily Skills 6th 8th
- \* Support is provided through Business Services and Finance

3. How many years of teaching experience do you have?

3. How many years or teaching expenience do you have?								
Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
1-2 years	140	8.2%						
3-5 years	148	8.6%						
6-10 years	288	16.8%						
11-15 years	282	16.5%						
More than 15 years	827	48.3%						
Other	28	1.6%						

## Other Responses:

- \* 12 YEARS WITH HCPS NOT TEACHING
- \* FIRST YEAR TEACHER, BUT OVER 20 YEARS AS A DAILY AND LONG-TERM SUBSTITUTE
- \* I AM A LONG TERM SUB. I HAVE BEEN TEACHING ON AND OFF FOR TWENTY YEARS.
- \* SUPPORT STAFF-CLERICAL/NO TEACHING EXPERIENCE
- OTEACHING; 34 SCHOOL PSYCHOLOGIST
- HAVE BEEN BOTH AN INCLUSION ASSISTANT AND PARA EDUCATOR SINCE 2009. WORKED AS A SUBSTITUTE TEACHER BEFORE BEING EMPLOYED.
- 5 MONTHS
- \* NOT A TEACHER BUT AN INCLUSION HELPER FOR 13 YEARS
- 0, BUT I HAVE BEEN SUBBING OFF AND ON AT DUBLIN.
- \* ALMOST A YEAR
- 23
- 20 YEARS
- 23
- 29

- PARA EDUCATOR
- \* 1 YEAR AS A PARA ED, 2 YEARS AS 10 MONTH SECRETARY AND 16 YEARS AS A LEAD SECRETARY
- · 22 · 40+
- 29
   14 YEARS AS A LICENSED SOCIAL WORKER, ALMOST 3 YEARS WITH HCPS
   THIS IS MY 1ST YEAR AS A LONG TERM SUB
- LESSTHAN 1YR
- NONE
- \* NOT A TEACHER, 29 YEARS WITH HCPS
- 10 YEARS SUPPORT
- NOT A TEACHER
- 29
- 32

4. Please select your home school from the list.  Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Aberdeen High School	57	3.3%						
Aberdeen Middle School	50	2.9%						
Abingdon Elementary School	28	1.6%	Ī					
Alternative Education Program at the Center for Educational	17	1.0%	ĺ					
Bakerfield Elementary School	18	1.0%	ĺ					
Bel Air Elementary School	19	1.1%	ĺ					
Bel Air High School	63	3.7%						
Bel Air Middle School	67	3.9%						
Central Office Location	19	1.1%						
C. Milton Wright High School	50	2.9%						
Church Creek Elementary School	31	1.8%	Ī					
Churchville Elementary School	18	1.0%	ĺ					
Darlington Elementary School	12	0.7%						
Deerfield Elementary School	31	1.8%						
Dublin Elementary School	23	1.3%	i i					
Edgewood Elementary School	20	1.2%	í					
Edgewood High School	59	3.4%						
Edgewood Middle School	61	3.5%						
Emmorton Elementary School	23	1.3%	Г					
Fallston High School	35	2.0%	i					
Fallston Middle School	28	1.6%	i i					
Forest Hill Elementary School	33	1.9%	í					
Forest Lakes Elementary School	17	1.0%	ī					
Fountain Green Elementary School	18	1.0%	i					
George D. Lisby Elementary School at Hillsdale	21	1.2%						
Hall's Cross Roads Elementary School	22	1.3%	i					
Harford Technical High School	42	2.4%						
Havre de Grace Elementary School	24	1.4%	ī.					
Havre de Grace Liementary School	25	1.5%	i					
Havre de Grace Might School	28	1.6%	1					
Hickory Elementary School	30	1.7%	i					
Homestead / Wakefield Elementary School	30	1.7%	i i					
Jarrettsville Elementary School	23	1.3%	i .					
John Archer School	42	2.4%						
Joppatowne Elementary School	27	1.6%	ī					
Joppatowne High School	37	2.1%	i					
Magnolia Elementary School	33	1.9%	i					
Magnolia Middle School	32	1.9%						
Meadowvale Elementary School	21	1.2%	ī					
Norrisville Elementary School	13	0.8%						
North Bend Elementary School	16	0.8%						
North Harford Elementary School	22	1.3%						
North Harford High School	45	2.6%						
North Harford Middle School	45 40	2.3%						
Patterson Mill High School	36	2.3%						
Patterson Mill Middle School	36 25	1.5%	ī					
Prospect Mill Elementary School	25 29	1.7%	1					
	30	1.7%						
Red Pump Elementary School								
Ring Factory Elementary School	26	1.5%						

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Riverside Elementary School	24	1.4%						
Roye-Williams Elementary School	17	1.0%						
Southampton Middle School	56	3.3%						
William Paca/Old Post Road Elementary School	43	2.5%						
William S. James Elementary School	25	1.5%						
Youth's Benefit Elementary School	40	2.3%						

5. How many of your students did you feel were cognitively engaged during virtual instruction?

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Few of my students (between 0% and 25%)	124	7.2%						
Some of my students (between 26% and 50%)	549	31.9%						
Many of my students (between 51% and 75%)	694	40.3%						
Most of my students (between 76% and 99%)	342	19.9%						
All of my students (100%)	12	0.7%						

6. Using technology and virtual resources to provide authentic experiences, such as virtual field trips, asynchronous video, escape

rooms or simulated applications of content.

rooms or simulated approactions of contents								
Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	70	4.1%						
Slightly Effective	329	19.1%						
Moderately Effective	779	45.3%						
Very Effective	437	25.4%						
Extremely Effective	106	6.2%						

7. Providing opportunities for students to create videos, audio recordings, and other forms of multi-media expression to demonstrate learning.

Response Frequency Percent 40 60 80 100 Not at All Effective 146 8.5% Slightly Effective 295 17.1% Moderately Effective 620 36.0% Very Effective 518 30.1% Extremely Effective 142 8.3%

8. Connecting with student's previous knowledge and experiences

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	48	2.8%						
Slightly Effective	225	13.1%						
Moderately Effective	628	36.5%						
Very Effective	628	36.5%						
Extremely Effective	192	11.2%		I				

9. Highlighting the value and personal relevance of assignments.

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	101	5.9%						
Slightly Effective	321	18.7%						
Moderately Effective	656	38.1%						
Very Effective	509	29.6%						
Extremely Effective	134	7.8%						

10. Utilizing hands on materials for students to construct creative products.

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	177	10.3%						
Slightly Effective	283	16.4%						
Moderately Effective	511	29.7%						
Very Effective	514	29.9%						
Extremely Effective	236	13.7%						

11. Utilizing break out rooms to provide student-student and student-teacher interaction.

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40 <i>6</i>	50 8	0 100
Not at All Effective	269	15.6%					
Slightly Effective	365	21.2%					
Moderately Effective	542	31.5%					
Very Effective	385	22.4%					
Extremely Effective	160	9.3%					

12. Providing student choice.

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	48	2.8%						
Slightly Effective	217	12.6%						
Moderately Effective	558	32.4%						
Very Effective	603	35.0%						
Extremely Effective	295	17.1%						

13. Providing videos, tutorials, audio recordings, and other online resources for your students' parents/caregivers to help their child engage in learning.

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	50	2.9%						
Slightly Effective	249	14.5%						
Moderately Effective	581	33.8%						
Very Effective	572	33.2%						
Extremely Effective	269	15.6%						

15. How many of your students did you feel were emotionally engaged during virtual instruction?

13. How many or your students and you reet were emotionally e	rigagea adi rrig virt	dai ilisti detion:						
Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Few of my students (between 0% and 25%)	193	11.2%						
Some of my students (between 26% and 50%)	608	35.3%						
Many of my students (between 51% and 75%)	612	35.6%						
Most of my students (between 76% and 99%)	294	17.1%						
All of my students (100%)	14	0.8%						

16. Establishing team-building routines and rituals by having students take on class roles.

10. Establishing team bahang fournes and readisby having	students take on cia	3310103.						
Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	197	11.4%						
Slightly Effective	357	20.7%						
Moderately Effective	595	34.6%						
Very Effective	408	23.7%						
Extremely Effective	164	9.5%						

17. Incorporating social emotional check-ins.

17. Incorporating social emotional check-ins.								
Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	75	4.4%						
Slightly Effective	276	16.0%						
Moderately Effective	608	35.3%						
Very Effective	502	29.2%						
Extremely Effective	260	15.1%						

18. Prompting students to share interests.

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	47	2.7%						
Slightly Effective	183	10.6%						
Moderately Effective	475	27.6%						
Very Effective	652	37.9%						
Extremely Effective	364	21.2%						

19. Building strong relationships through one-on-one or virtual meetings with students.

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	65	3.8%						
Slightly Effective	176	10.2%						
Moderately Effective	451	26.2%						
Very Effective	581	33.8%						
Extremely Effective	448	26.0%						

20. Integrating students interests and strengths.

20: Intograting stadonts into osts and strong this.								
Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	34	2.0%						
Slightly Effective	156	9.1%						
Moderately Effective	488	28.4%						
Very Effective	682	39.6%						
Extremely Effective	361	21.0%						

21. Using technology to provide feedback and praise.

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	70	4.1%						
Slightly Effective	278	16.2%						
Moderately Effective	597	34.7%						
Very Effective	533	31.0%						
Extremely Effective	243	14.1%						

22. Using challenges to increase motivation.

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	123	7.1%						
Slightly Effective	392	22.8%						
Moderately Effective	638	37.1%						
Very Effective	421	24.5%						
Extremely Effective	147	8.5%						

24. How many of your students did you feel were behaviorally engaged during virtual instruction?

24. How marry or your students did you recrivere behaviorally	chigaged during vii	tuai iristructiori:						
Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Few of my students (between 0% and 25%)	160	9.3%						
Some of my students (between 26% and 50%)	528	30.7%						
Many of my students (between 51% and 75%)	603	35.0%						
Most of my students (between 76% and 99%)	407	23.6%						
All of my students (100%)	23	1.3%						

25. Establishing class norms.

20. Establishing class horns.								
Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	44	2.6%						
Slightly Effective	170	9.9%						
Moderately Effective	523	30.4%						
Very Effective	622	36.1%						
Extremely Effective	362	21.0%						

26. Establishing individual norms.

20. Establishing individual from this.								
Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	67	3.9%						
Slightly Effective	248	14.4%						
Moderately Effective	651	37.8%						
Very Effective	536	31.1%						
Extremely Effective	219	12.7%						

27. Using virtual resources to build a more relevant learning experience (virtual field trips, social media, video clips, gamified learning etc.).

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	78	4.5%						
Slightly Effective	275	16.0%						
Moderately Effective	629	36.5%						
Very Effective	541	31.4%						
Extremely Effective	198	11.5%						

28. Facilitating student collaboration through collaborative documents and web tools.

Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	196	11.4%						
Slightly Effective	377	21.9%						
Moderately Effective	646	37.5%						
Very Effective	383	22.3%						
Extremely Effective	119	6.9%						

29. Integrating activities and strategies which supported student developing self-management skills.

27. Integrating detrivities and strategies which supported stades	nt act cloping scn	managementsini	13.					
Response	Frequency	Percent	0	20	40	60	80	100
Not at All Effective	114	6.6%						
Slightly Effective	350	20.3%						
Moderately Effective	697	40.5%						
Very Effective	446	25.9%						
Extremely Effective	114	6.6%						