

BOARD OF EDUCATION OF HARFORD COUNTY

INFORMATIONAL REPORT

SUPERINTENDENT’S RECOMMENDATION

PROPOSED, NEW BOARD POLICY

POLICY NO. 24-0007-000 EDUCATIONAL EQUITY

NOVEMBER 9, 2020

Background Information:

The Board maintains a manual which sets forth the various policies of the Harford County Public Schools. The manual contains policies which are either required by federal and state law and regulation or which are, in the Board’s judgment, necessary and appropriate for the school system to operate in a lawful, appropriate and effective manner.

Discussion:

The Code of Maryland Administrative Regulations (“COMAR”), §13A.01.06, entitled Educational Equity in Maryland, requires each local school system in Maryland to adopt a policy which implements the provisions of such regulation. A copy of the regulation is attached.

The new, proposed 24-0007-000 Educational Equity policy was prepared by Patrick P. Spicer, General Counsel, and Dr. Paula Stanton, Manager of Equity and Cultural Proficiency. The attached, proposed, new policy has been drafted in accordance with the above-referenced regulation.

The proposed, new policy was presented for review at the February 24, 2020, meeting of the Board Policy Review Committee (“BPRC”). At that meeting, Dr. Stanton requested to review the new, proposed policy in order to compare it with drafts done prior to her appointment to the position of Manager of Equity and Cultural Proficiency. During the summer of 2020, Dr. Stanton advised that she approved the attached draft, with non-substantive edits.

The attached, proposed new policy, in accordance with COMAR §13A.01.06, addresses all elements set forth in that regulation and in the Equity in Education Guidebook issued by the Maryland State Department of Education, a copy of which is attached.

Attachments:

1. Code of Maryland Administrative Regulations (“COMAR”), §13A.01.06, entitled Educational Equity in Maryland
2. Proposed, new Board Policy 24-0007-000, entitled Educational Equity.
3. Equity in Education Guidebook

Superintendent's Recommendation:

For the foregoing reasons, the Superintendent recommends that the Board review for approval at a subsequent Board meeting the following.

- Proposed, new policy entitled 24-0007-000 Educational Equity.

Title 13A STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

Subtitle 01 STATE SCHOOL ADMINISTRATION

Chapter 06 Educational Equity

Authority: Education Article, §2-205(c) and (h), Annotated Code of Maryland

.01 Purpose.

The purpose of this chapter is to establish as a matter of policy and priority that:

A. Each Maryland public school will provide every student equitable access to the educational rigor, resources, and supports that are designed to maximize the student's academic success and social/emotional well-being;

B. Each local school system's procedures and practices provide for educational equity and ensure that there are no obstacles to accessing educational opportunities for any student; and

C. Achievement will improve for all Maryland students and achievement gaps will be eliminated.

.02 Scope.

This chapter applies to all local school systems, the Maryland State Department of Education, and entities that provide educational services to children birth—age 21, including licensed childcare facilities and programs.

.03 Definitions.

A. In this chapter, the following terms have the meanings indicated.

B. Terms Defined.

(1) “Accountability measures” means those Maryland accountability framework indicators in place to guarantee oversight of opportunities, resources, and educational rigor that will lead to achievement for all students.

(2) “Educational equity” means that every student has access to the opportunities, resources, and educational rigor they need throughout their educational career to maximize academic success and social/emotional well-being and to view each student’s individual characteristics as valuable.

(3) “Educational opportunities” means all students have access to rigorous, well-rounded academic programs and experiences that enrich their educational career and prepare them for academic and career success.

(4) “Equity lens” means that for any program, practice, decision, or action, the impact on all students is addressed, with strategic focus on marginalized student groups.

(5) “Individual characteristics” means the characteristics of each individual student, which include but are not limited to:

(a) Ability (cognitive, social/emotional, and physical);

(b) Ethnicity;

(c) Family structure;

(d) Gender identity and expression;

(e) Language;

(f) National origin;

(g) Nationality;

(h) Race;

(i) Religion;

(j) Sexual orientation; and

(k) Socio-economic status.

.04 Requirements — Educational Equity in Maryland.

A. The Maryland State Department of Education shall establish systems of structure and support for school systems, students, teachers, and other stakeholders that ensure educational equity and excellence.

B. Each local school system shall develop an educational equity policy and regulations, to be reviewed every 3 years, with the goal of providing educational equity to all students.

C. The policy and regulations shall:

- (1) Be designed to create and maintain environments that are equitable, fair, safe, diverse, and inclusive;
- (2) Be based on the goal of providing educational equity for all students;
- (3) Direct the identification and utilization of resources to provide equitable access to educational opportunities and services, by among other steps, the use of disaggregated student data to analyze trends and identify gaps and equitable solutions;
- (4) Identify partnerships with the Maryland State Department of Education, local government agencies, and stakeholders to support educational equity;
- (5) Provide tailored and differentiated professional learning to build capacity for cultural responsiveness to address areas of inequity identified by the school system;
- (6) Ensure equitable access to effective teachers for all students;
- (7) Require that an equity lens be used in all staff recruiting, hiring, retention, and promotion processes;
- (8) Require that an equity lens be used in reviews of staff, curriculum, pedagogy, professional learning, instructional materials, and assessment design;
- (9) Provide the access and opportunity for all students to successfully read on level by the end of grade 2;
- (10) Direct that equity be addressed in the Local Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Consolidated Strategic Plan;
- (11) Identify the school system's process for analyzing data to develop goals, objectives, strategies, and timelines for the implementation of equitable and culturally competent practices in each school;
- (12) Identify the method of evaluation to measure the effect of equitable practices in the school system and schools; and
- (13) Designate an individual responsible for the facilitation, monitoring, and implementation of the system equity initiatives within the Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan.

.05 Monitoring and Reporting.

A. Each local school system shall:

- (1) Address implementation of the equity policy through its Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan;
- (2) Beginning September 1, 2019, include its equity initiatives as an integrated component of its Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan; and
- (3) Beginning September 1, 2020, and every 3 years thereafter, in its Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan, submit to the State Superintendent an analysis of the results of the accountability measures related to data collected on achieving equity goals and objectives that will be published and made accessible to the public.

B. The Maryland State Department of Education shall:

- (1) Conduct needs assessments for the Department and local school systems;
- (2) Convene the Network for Equity and Excellence in Education with representation from each local school system and other stakeholders to review Statewide progress and to develop implementation and peer review guidelines for this chapter;
- (3) Develop a guide for implementation of equity initiatives at the local level which includes sample components of high-quality equity policies, guidance around how to apply an equity lens within priority birth—age 21 focus areas as defined by the Department, and strategies on how to measure and evaluate the application of an equity lens; and
- (4) Review and assess progress of the Department and local school systems on implementing the requirements of this chapter.

C. Beginning December 1, 2020, and every 3 years thereafter, the State Superintendent shall report progress on the implementation of this chapter to the State Board, publish the data, and make it easily accessible for public viewing.

D. Beginning in 2020 and annually thereafter, the State Board of Education and the Superintendent will recognize schools, school staff, and local school systems that demonstrate the most significant advances in promoting equity and excellence.

POLICY TITLE: EDUCATIONAL EQUITY		
ADOPTION/EFFECTIVE DATE:	MOST RECENTLY AMENDED:	MOST RECENTLY REAFFIRMED:
POLICY/PROCEDURE MANUAL SUMMARY CATEGORY: EQUITY AND NON-DISCRIMINATION		

I. Purpose

The purpose of this policy is to set forth the Board's commitment to provide educational equity for all students.

II. Definitions

A. **Accountability Measures** – means those Maryland accountability framework indicators in place to guarantee oversight of opportunities, resources, and educational rigor that will lead to achievement for all students.

B. **Educational Equity** – means that every student has access to the opportunities, resources, and educational rigor they need throughout their educational career to maximize academic success and social/emotional well-being and to view each student's individual characteristics as valuable.

C. **Educational Opportunities** – means all students have access to rigorous, well-rounded academic programs and experiences that enrich their educational career and prepare them for academic and career success.

D. **Equity Lens** – means that for any program, practice, decision, or action, the impact on all students is addressed, with strategic focus on marginalized student groups.

E. **Individual Characteristics** – means the characteristics of each individual student, which include but are not limited to:

1. Ability (cognitive, social/emotional, and physical);
2. Ethnicity;
3. Family structure;
4. Gender identity and expression;
5. Language;
6. National origin;
7. Nationality;
8. Race;

9. Religion;
10. Sexual orientation; and,
11. Socio-economic status.

III. Statement of Policy

- A. Environments for student learning, extra-curricular activities, and other school or school-sponsored activities, shall be designed by the school system so as to be equitable, fair, safe, diverse, and inclusive.
- B. School system resources shall be identified and utilized so as to provide equitable access to educational opportunities and services including, among other methods, the use of disaggregated student data to analyze trends and identify gaps and equitable solutions.
- C. The school system shall identify partnerships with the Maryland State Department of Education (“MSDE”), local government agencies, other agencies, and stakeholders to support educational equity.
- D. The school system shall provide professional development designed and differentiated to build capacity for cultural responsiveness to address identified areas of inequity.
- E. The school system shall ensure equitable access to effective teachers for all students.
- F. The school system shall use an equity lens in all staff recruiting, hiring, retention, and promotion processes.
- G. The school system shall use an equity lens in reviews of staff, curriculum pedagogy, professional learning, instructional material, and assessment design.
- H. The school system shall provide access and opportunity for all students to successfully read on level by the end of each grade.
- I. The school system shall address equity in the Local Every Student Succeeds Act (“ESSA”) Consolidated Strategic Plan.
- J. The school system shall identify a process for analyzing data to develop goals, objectives, strategies, and timelines for the implementation of equitable and culturally responsive practices in each school.
- K. The school system shall identify a method of evaluation to measure the effect of equitable practices in the system and in schools.

- L. The Superintendent shall identify a system employee responsible for the facilitation, monitoring, and implementation of the system's equity initiatives within the Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan.
- M. The Superintendent shall issue procedures which implement this policy.

Board Approval Acknowledged By:

Sean W. Bulson, Ed.D., Superintendent

DRAFT

Policy Action Dates		
ACTION DATE	ACTION DATE	ACTION DATE
Adopted		

Responsibility for Policy Maintenance & References	
LAST EDITOR/DRAFTER NAME: Patrick P. Spicer, Esquire	JOB POSITION OF LAST EDITOR/DRAFTER: General Counsel
PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Dr. Paula Stanton	JOB POSITION OF PERSON RESPONSIBLE: Supervisor of Equity and Cultural Proficiency
DESIGNEE NAME:	JOB POSITION OF DESIGNEE:
POLICY NUMBER PRIOR TO NOVEMBER 1, 2005:	

LEGAL REFERENCES¹

COMAR 13A.01.06

¹ All references are to specific federal or Maryland statutes or regulations. References are provided for convenience and informational purposes only and are not to be considered as exhaustive or as precluding Harford County Public Schools from relying upon any other statutes or regulations in support of a policy.



Karen B. Salmon, Ph.D.
State Superintendent of Schools

TO: Members of the State Board of Education

FROM: Karen B. Salmon, Ph.D.

DATE: August 27, 2019

SUBJECT: *Guide to Equity and Excellence in Maryland*

PURPOSE:

The purpose of this action is to provide the *Guide to Equity and Excellence in Maryland* for review by the State Board of Education.

BACKGROUND/HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE:

On June 25, 2019, the State Board of Education granted permission to publish the new COMAR 13A.01.06, *Educational Equity*. One of the major requirements for the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) is to “develop a guide for implementation of equity initiatives at the local level which includes sample components of high-quality policies, guidance around how to apply an equity lens within priority birth – age 21 focus areas as defined by the Department...”

All local school systems were invited to provide representation to the Network for Equity and Excellence in Education (NE3). This group has evolved, for over 25 years, from two preceding MSDE networks: the MSDE Multicultural Education Task Force and the Education that is Multicultural and Achievement Network. NE3 developed the framework for the *Guide* aligned with the new Educational Equity regulations. MSDE also collaborated with the Mid-Atlantic Comprehensive Center at WestEd, who provided additional content, resources, and some of the design elements.

With equitable outcomes as the goal, the *Guide* provides actions at the local school system level and school level through an equity lens in the following focus areas:

- Academic achievement and growth
- Leadership and human capital
- School climate and culture
- Educator and staff capacity

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

The *Guide to Equity and Excellence in Maryland* is MSDE's first step in establishing "systems of structure and support for school systems, students, teachers, and other stakeholders that ensure educational equity and excellence" as required in the new regulations.

ACTION:


No action required. For information only.

Attachment:

Guide to Equity and Excellence in Maryland

Equity and Excellence in Maryland

A Guide to Equity in Education



STATE BOARD MEETING

August 27, 2019



EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE in Maryland

A Guide to Equity in Education




Educational Equity COMAR Requirements

- Guide for implementation of equity initiatives at the local level
- Sample components of high-quality policies
- Guidance around how to apply an equity lens
- Priority birth – age 21 focus areas as defined by the Department

Collaboration

- Network for Equity and Excellence in Education (NE3)
- MSDE Leadership and Divisions
- WestEd

FOCUS AREAS



Focus 1: Academic Achievement and Growth

Building a more equitable academic program.



Why Academic Achievement and Growth?



Review of two assessments required for graduation shows that when looking at student groups, English Learners and Students with Disabilities perform a lower rate than other student groups on Algebra 1 and ELA 10 state assessments. When disaggregating by race/ethnicity, African American and Hispanic students perform lowest on the same state assessment.

Algebra 1 Assessment

The assessment outcomes of African American students, Hispanic students, and students with disabilities display scores lower than students of other races/ethnicities on the Algebra I assessment. White students' outcomes show higher scores than other groups, with the exception of Asian students. Native American and Hawaii/Pacific Islander students perform higher than African American students and Hispanic students, but significantly lower than Asian and White students. Students with disabilities have the largest performance gap when compared to all other groups. The pattern of performance described above has persisted since 2015, with small fluctuations up or down in scores without a significant reduction in the academic gaps between student groups.



HOW TO APPLY THE EQUITY LENS

“For any program, practice, decision, or action, the impact on all students is addressed, with strategic focus on marginalized student groups”

ACTIONS

- Recommended actions in each focus area provided at the local system and school levels
- Not an exhaustive list



How to apply the Equity Lens

In Maryland, we believe that all students are capable of reaching their full potential and succeeding in school, regardless of race, gender, socioeconomic status, or other individual characteristics. As such, when there are gaps in achievement and growth based on these characteristics, we must take a close and critical look at the systems that govern schools and LSSs. Next we must then make the changes necessary so that all students are able to reach their potential at the schools that they attend. We will continue to look critically at every aspect of our educational system until all academic and growth gaps are closed.

Actions

Identify and address structural and institutional barriers that prevent students from equitably accessing educational opportunities in all schools.

LSS Level :

- Develop educational equity policy and regulations as required in COMAR 13A.01.06 Educational Equity.
- Clearly articulate goals in the Local Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Consolidated Strategic Plan.
- Determine where the gaps are in academic achievement in your LSS, e.g.:
 - Race/ethnicity
 - Socioeconomic status
 - Disability status
 - School-based
 - Student-level
- Evaluate curriculum for alignment to standards.
- Determine the programming that is offered at each school in academic and non-academic areas and who has access to/is enrolled in these programs, e.g.:
 - Early literacy programming
 - Rigorous standards-based English, math, social studies, and science pathways
 - Postsecondary opportunities, e.g., dual-enrollment college tours/programming, AP, and IB courses

School Level :

- Clearly articulate the school's commitment to provide equity for all students, regardless of individual characteristics.
- Using the data from the LSS analysis, identify existing gaps and determine a plan for how to address those gaps (use root cause analysis to identify barriers).
- Assess implementation of vetted/ standards-aligned curriculum for bias, inadequate examination of perspectives, e.g. social justice standards or anti-bias framework.
- Ensure access to a vetted/standards-aligned curriculum for all students, including English Learners and students with disabilities.
- Use formative assessment to adjust teaching and learning practices based on the progress of individual students.
- Identify the key community partners that can support students and families and engage with those partners to develop a school-level strategic vision and planning efforts.

Resources

<http://marylandpublicschools.org/programs/Pages/EEE/index.aspx>

Focus 4: Educator & Staff Capacity

August, D., & Blackburn, T. (2019). *Promoting success for teachers of English learners through structured observations*. Washington, DC: Council of Chief State School Officers.

Retrieved from

<https://ccsso.org/sites/default/files/2019-04/Promoting%20Success%20for%20Teachers%20of%20English%20Learners%20Through%20Structured%20Observations.pdf>

Bristol, T. (2015). Male teachers of color take a lesson from each other. *Kappan*, 97(2), 36-41.

Bristol, T. & Mentor, M. (2018). Policing and teaching: The positioning of black male teachers as agents in the universal carceral apparatus. *The Urban Review*, 50(2), 218-234. Retrieved from <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11256-018-0447-z>

Gay, G. (2010). *Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice*. New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Next Steps

Local school systems (LSSs):

- Develop equity policy and regulations
- Focus on equity goals in the Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan

MSDE:

- Continue providing support for LSSs
- Conduct needs assessment for Department and LSSs
- Develop peer review guidelines
- Review statewide progress
- Report progress to State Board and publish
- Recognize LSSs, schools, and staff for excellence



EQUITY AND EXCELLENCE

A Guide to Educational Equity in Maryland



TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1 | Message From the State Superintendent of Schools
- 2 | How to Use the Guide
- 3 | Why Educational Equity?
- 4 | Focus 1: Academic Achievement and Growth
- 8 | Focus 2: Leadership and Human Capital
- 10 | Focus 3: School Climate and Culture
- 13 | Focus 4: Educator and Staff Capacity
- 15 | Key Terms/Resources
- 16 | Maryland Network for Equity and Excellence in Education



Dear Maryland Leaders:

I believe that educational equity is a critical priority for Maryland, as well as a cornerstone in my work as Maryland State Superintendent of Schools. Although we have seen some progress in our State indicators, achievement and opportunity gaps still persist at all levels of our education system. I believe very strongly that all students deserve an education that prepares them for life long learning, success when they enter the workforce and participation in our representative government. There are many students who are not receiving the high-quality educational experiences that are needed to reach these goals. Yes, we have admired the problem very frequently, but now it is time to work actively towards resolving it.

We must approach equity with urgency so that all children can realize the promise of public education. The importance of educational equity and its relationship to educational excellence is highlighted in the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) logo, but more importantly, it is, and must continue to be, evident in our work.

The work of MSDE staff and the State Board over the last three years has focused on greater understanding of our diverse students' needs. What we have not grappled with is recognizing the importance of diversity and viewing it as an asset. Through MSDE's Network for Equity and Excellence in Education, we invited representatives from all 24 local school systems (LSSs) to work with us to develop focus areas and strategies to improve the achievement of all students in the State.

This *Guide to Equity and Excellence in Maryland* will serve as a road map to educators on how to apply an equity lens to all aspects of their work and will be a valuable resource as LSSs develop and implement their own educational equity policies. The *Guide* should be used as a practical tool for developing goals in each school system's Local Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Consolidated Strategic Plan. Those goals and strategies are intended to increase equitable opportunities for all of our students.

Best regards,




Karen B. Salmon, Ph.D.

Karen B. Salmon, Ph.D.

STATE SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS

What are Maryland's equity focus areas?

Four focus areas will guide the creation of a more equitable education system in Maryland.



How to use the Equity Guide

This Guide was developed to assist Local School Systems (LSSs) in leading conversations on supporting the implementation of equitable practices and structures for all students.

The Guide will:

HELP

organize the collection of data from needs assessments and other sources.

ASSIST

in delving deeply into existing and identified challenges and their root causes.

AID

in exploring ways to maximize resources related to goals.

MODEL

how to use an equity lens in developing the Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan.

Why does Maryland focus on equity in education?

One of the fundamental requisites of Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 13A.01.06, Educational Equity, is that local school systems (LSSs) develop policies and regulations that reflect the requirements laid out in the State Board regulations. This Guide includes educational equity focus areas and actions that will assist in creating or revising local policies and regulations. Stakeholder input is critical during the development and implementation of the policies and regulations. LSSs should use their local policy format to develop a policy that is customized for their communities. Policies may include purpose statement(s), definitions, goals and outcomes, and procedures for evaluation and reporting. Tools for policy development include the needs assessment aligned to the Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan and disaggregated state and local data. COMAR requires review of the LSS policy every three years.

The following are additional requirements included in COMAR for local educational equity policies:

1. Be designed to create and maintain environments that are fair, safe, diverse, and inclusive.
2. Be based on the goal of providing educational equity for all students.
3. Direct the identification and utilization of resources to provide equitable access to educational opportunities and services by, among other steps, the use of disaggregated student data to analyze and identify gaps and equitable solutions.
4. Identify partnerships with the Maryland State Department of Education, local government agencies, and stakeholders to support educational equity.
5. Provide tailored and differentiated professional learning to build capacity for cultural responsiveness to address areas of inequity identified by the school system.
6. Ensure equitable access to effective teachers for all students.
7. Require that an equity lens be used in all staff recruiting, hiring, retention, and promotion decisions.
8. Require that an equity lens be used in reviews of all staff, curriculum, pedagogy, professional learning, instructional materials, and assessment design.
9. Provide the access and opportunity for all students to successfully read on-level by the end of Grade 2.
10. Direct that equity be addressed in the Local Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Consolidated Strategic Plan.
11. Identify the school system's process for analyzing data to develop goals, objectives, strategies, and timelines for the implementation of equitable and culturally competent practices in each school.
12. Identify the method of evaluation to measure the effect of equitable practices in the school system and schools.
13. Designate an individual responsible for the facilitation, monitoring, and implementation of the system equity initiatives within the Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan.

Focus 1: Academic Achievement and Growth

Building a more equitable academic program.


Why Academic Achievement and Growth?



Review of two assessments required for graduation shows that when looking at student groups, English Learners and Students with Disabilities perform at a lower rate than other student groups on Algebra 1 and ELA 10 state assessments. When disaggregating by race/ethnicity, African American and Hispanic students perform lowest on the same state assessments.


English Language Arts (ELA) Assessment

ELA 10 BY STUDENT GROUPS



The performance of English language learners and students with disabilities is lower than other student categories on the ELA 10 assessment. Students with 504 designation and Farms students perform higher.


ALGEBRA I BY RACE/ETHNICITY



Data on race/ethnicity shows that African American and Hispanic students have the lowest scores; Asian students, followed by white students, have the highest scores. The Guide provides recommendations for reviewing student data, root cause analysis, and goal setting to address these discrepancies.


Algebra 1 Assessment

ALGEBRA I BY STUDENT GROUPS



When examining the performance of student groups, English learners and students with disabilities have the lowest performance of student groups. Overall, there is a significant gap between low-performing students and higher-performing students that has persisted over the three years highlighted on the graphs. The Equity Guide provides systematic steps to analyze and identify goals to reduce these inequities.

ALGEBRA I BY RACE/ETHNICITY



The assessment outcomes of African American students and Hispanic students are lower than students of other races/ethnicities on the Algebra 1 assessment. White students' outcomes show higher scores than other racial/ethnic groups, with the exception of Asian students. Native American and Hawaii/Pacific Islander students perform higher than African American students and Hispanic students but significantly lower than Asian and white students on the Algebra 1 assessment.



How to apply the Equity Lens

In Maryland, we believe that all students are capable of reaching their full potential and succeeding in school, regardless of race, gender, socioeconomic status, or other individual characteristics. As such, when there are gaps in achievement and growth based on these characteristics, we must take a close and critical look at the systems that govern schools and LSSs. Next we must then make the changes necessary so that all students are able to reach their potential at the schools that they attend. We will continue to look critically at every aspect of our educational system until all academic and growth gaps are closed.

Actions

Identify and address structural and institutional barriers that prevent students from equitably accessing educational opportunities in all schools.

LSS Level :

- Develop educational equity policy and regulations as required in COMAR 13A.01.06 Educational Equity.
- Clearly articulate goals in the Local Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) Consolidated Strategic Plan.
- Determine where the gaps are in academic achievement in your LSS, e.g.:
 - Race/ethnicity
 - Socioeconomic status
 - Disability status
 - School-based
 - Student-level
- Evaluate curriculum for alignment to standards.
- Determine the programming that is offered at each school in academic and non-academic areas and who has access to/is enrolled in these programs, e.g.:
 - Early literacy programming
 - Rigorous standards-based English, math, social studies, and science pathways
 - Postsecondary opportunities, e.g., dual-enrollment college tours/programming, AP, and IB courses
 - Career and Technology Education programs of study
 - Extracurricular activities
 - College/career counselor
 - Well-rounded coursework
 - STEM
 - Other instructional supports
- Determine funding gaps:
 - By school
 - Per-pupil expenditures (e.g., staffing, books, technology, buildings)
- Determine the schools and classrooms that have the smallest gaps in achievement and resource allocation and find trends and best practices to emulate and share widely.

School Level :

- Clearly articulate the school's commitment to provide equity for all students, regardless of individual characteristics.
- Using the data from the LSS analysis, identify existing gaps and determine a plan for how to address those gaps (use root cause analysis to identify barriers).
- Assess implementation of vetted/standards-aligned curriculum for bias, inadequate examination of perspectives, e.g. social justice standards or anti-bias framework.
- Ensure access to a vetted/standards-aligned curriculum for all students, including English learners and students with disabilities.
- Use formative assessment to adjust teaching and learning practices based on the progress of individual students.
- Identify the key community partners that can support students and families and engage with those partners to develop a school-level strategic vision and planning efforts.

Strengthen students' readiness for postsecondary entry and success.

LSS Level :

- Provide data systems and/or dashboards to school leaders that are clear and inform them of student potential and current gaps in access to postsecondary opportunities in their schools.
- Allocate resources and provide professional learning to school leaders and staff to ensure proper student coursework and advisement aligned to specific postsecondary programming.
- Work with local postsecondary institutions to establish articulation agreements for programming for students.
- Expand Career and Technology Education (CTE) programs of study offerings in high-needs schools.
- Provide incentives and access to opportunities for school staff to acquire proper credentials to lead Advanced Placement/International Baccalaureate (AP/IB) and dual-enrollment programming.

School Level :

- Use data from the earlier analyses, determine where gaps are found, and create a plan for how to fill gaps.
- Ensure that all students have access to course sequences aligned to postsecondary preparation and are properly advised on postsecondary opportunities and how to access them.
- Ensure that the school curriculum includes opportunities for AP/IB and dual-credit courses that fulfill graduation requirements.

Increase access to early learning opportunities. Provide access and opportunities for all students to successfully read on grade level by the end of Grade 2.

LSS Level :

- Communicate to schools and families about the importance of early reading and the programming available to support achievement from Birth–Grade 12.
- Develop a plan for comprehensive literacy instruction that includes foundational reading skills.
- Ensure that all students have access to high-quality early learning opportunities regardless of disability, race, or socioeconomic status, and create a plan for ways to address those gaps where they exist.
- Provide data systems and training for school leaders that clearly inform them of current gaps in achievement, programming, supports, or interventions, as well as evidence-based interventions that are available at their schools.
- Prioritize access to high-quality early learning opportunities aligned to standards.
- Provide incentives and access to opportunities for school staff to acquire proper credentials to lead early reading strategies and interventions.

School Level :

- Determine where gaps exist in achievement, access to programming, supports, and funding, and create a plan for how to address them.
- Set high expectations and provide supports for educators and staff in the explicit and systematic instruction of foundational reading skills in order for teachers to successfully teach early reading and track student progress and growth towards goals.
- Adjust curriculum and available programming to ensure that students have multiple opportunities to read and get feedback about their progress towards goals.
- Provide opportunities for support and interventions as needed to ensure academic achievement for all students.

Focus 2 : Leadership and Human Capital

Recruiting and retaining effective and diverse educators and staff.


Why Leadership and Human Capital?



Students who interact with a culturally diverse population of teachers and leaders in a school are more likely to build strong relationships with adults, feel safe in the school environment, and be engaged in learning that sets the conditions for higher outcomes. The charts below show a significant difference between the diversity in professional staff and the diversity of Maryland's student body.

ENROLLMENT IN MD PUBLIC SCHOOLS BY RACE/ETHNICITY


SEPTEMBER 2018



This chart shows that Maryland schools are diverse. The largest number of students are White (36.5%) and African American (33.6%), and Hispanic (18%). All other racial/ethnic groups represent an additional 10.6% of the student population. Research makes a strong correlation between the race/ethnicity of the student and that of the teacher. Use of an equity lens will assist school systems and schools to disaggregate the data on student enrollment and professional staff and develop long-term plans to bring these groups into better alignment.

PERCENT OF PROFESSIONAL STAFF BY RACE/ETHNICITY

OCTOBER 2018



The graph shows that professional staff falls in two major categories, White at 70% and African American at 20%. All other race/ethnic categories of professional staff combined are 8.6%. These percentages do not represent an equitable professional staff. Suggested action provided in the Guide will assist local school systems and schools in developing strategies to overcome barriers to hiring and retaining a more diverse faculty and staff.



How to apply the Equity Lens

As leaders in Maryland, we know that based on our history and current societal dynamics, we have a responsibility to work often outside of our comfort zones to ensure that all students have access to a quality education and feel safe and included in their school environments. Part of that work is to ensure that all students, particularly students of color, students with disabilities, and students who have been traditionally underrepresented and not afforded equal opportunities, have access to effective, diverse, and supportive teachers and leaders throughout their school experiences.

Actions

Provide equitable access to effective teachers.

LSS Level :

- Using the data provided at the state level through the ESSA accountability system, ensure that the students with the lowest performance outcomes have equitable access to highly effective teachers.
- Identify the barriers to attracting and retaining a diverse workforce of highly effective teachers and develop a plan to address those barriers.
- Train those involved in the hiring process to recruit and identify staff that will be successful working in high-needs schools.
- Engage with institutes of higher education (IHEs) to support retention of teachers.

School Level :

- Using data compiled and analyzed at the LSS level, develop school-based strategies to recruit and place highly effective teachers in high-need areas.
- Create professional learning experiences for all teachers to increase culturally responsive practices.
- Develop supports (i.e. teacher-mentor or coaching) and programming to provide induction and incentives to prepare and retain teachers in high-needs schools and classrooms.
- Create school clubs and Grow Your Own initiatives to develop a self-sustaining pipeline of diverse pool teaching candidates.

Recruit, hire, and retain a diverse and effective staff of highly qualified educators.

LSS Level :

- Set system-wide goals related to closing equity gaps, i.e., ensuring that all students are taught by at least one teacher of color and all students of color are taught by a teacher of color.
- Analyze hiring, recruitment, and retention efforts and determine where teachers of color are being lost in the pipeline.
- Provide training support for school leaders and hiring staff in their selection and hiring processes to reduce implicit biases and prioritize hiring to close racial gaps.
- Engage with IHEs and preparation programs that have diverse student populations and work to build a pipeline to schools that need more teachers of color.
- Create a rigorous and innovative retention plan for teachers of color.

School Level :

- Determine gaps by race at the school level.
- Collect information on staff satisfaction from teachers each year and review data to determine strengths and weaknesses in the professional, instructional, and social climate of the school in order to promote strengths and remedy weaknesses.
- Analyze data from teachers who leave the school, along with their reasons for exiting.
- Identify potential teacher candidates of color outside of the traditional routes to recruit into educator preparation programs.
- Provide induction, mentoring support, and access to school leadership opportunities for new and current teachers of color.

Focus 3 : School Climate and Culture Affecting School Success


Creating a climate that supports student success.

Why School Climate and Culture Affecting School Success?




In Maryland schools, students of color experience higher rates of suspensions and expulsions. This phenomenon has continued over several school years. Even with a greater emphasis placed on suspension/expulsion data starting in 2016, this discrepancy has continued. Students of color and students with disabilities also experience high rates of chronic absenteeism.

Students Suspended or Expelled from Maryland Public Schools by Race/Ethnicity




African American students are disproportionately suspended or expelled from Maryland Public Schools than any other student group when suspension/expulsion data is disaggregated by race/ethnicity. White and Hispanic students are the second and third highest groups with Native American students suspended/expelled at the lowest rate.

CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM BY RACE/ETHNICITY



Students of color have higher rates of chronic absenteeism than all students except white and Asian students. Asian Students have the lowest rate of chronic absenteeism. Using this Guide and an equity lens will position local school systems and schools to reduce the rates of chronic absenteeism for students of color.

CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM BY STUDENT GROUPS



Student groups on this chart have higher rates of chronic absenteeism than the All Students category. Maryland’s most vulnerable students are experiencing higher rates of chronic absenteeism than their classmates. The Educational Equity regulation and this Guide provide the framework for local school systems and schools to develop plans to address chronic absenteeism and increase student attendance.



How to apply the Equity Lens

In order for all students to be successful academically, schools must be warm, welcoming, and supportive environments. A positive culture gives students the opportunity to take chances and allows them to feel safe to make mistakes and productively learn from them. When leaders create welcoming school environments with the appropriate rigor and support, all students can succeed academically and socially and reach their full potential, regardless of race or socioeconomic background.

Actions

Identify areas of disproportionality, and identify root causes and actionable steps to address them.

LSS Level :

- Articulate a vision and mission to promote a culturally responsive LSS community in the Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan.
- Review data on chronic absenteeism at the school and student level and share annually with school leaders in the LSS.
- Review data related to discipline referral rates for all subgroups at the school and student level and share annually with school leaders in the LSS.
- Develop a strategy on evidence-based methods of positive school discipline and train school leaders on implementing new practices.
- Review the LSS curriculum to ensure that social-emotional learning strategies and culturally relevant instruction are integrated for students and staff to promote positive learning environments.

School Level :

- Articulate a vision and mission to promote a culturally responsive school community that aligns with the LSS's educational equity policy and the Local ESSA Consolidated Strategic Plan.
- Create a plan to share data, policies, and practices with families each year and ensure that faculty and staff understand the school discipline policies.
- Train educators on restorative justice and practices and align school policies to improve school climate.
- Provide support to educators on social-emotional learning models and incorporate them into existing school practices.

Reduce chronic absenteeism at the school and LSS Levels.

LSS Level :

- Create partnerships with educator preparation providers to ensure that teacher candidates learn strategies for reducing truancy and chronic absenteeism and develop skills to engage with families to support student attendance.
- Review LSS-wide attendance data and target support to schools with high rates of absenteeism.
- Provide training to school leaders and staff to develop effective monitoring systems and strategies to intervene where necessary to support attendance for families in the school community.
- Allocate the necessary resources and personnel for wraparound supports at schools where absenteeism is highest over an extended period of time.

School Level :

- Create a plan to partner with families and communities to create solutions to alleviate attendance barriers.
- Train educators and staff on the barriers to attendance and ensure that they are equipped with the tools necessary to support students and families with prolonged absences.
- Ensure that school policies, practices, and environments create an inclusive school culture that supports the attendance of all students and families.
- Identify partners in the community to provide non-instructional supports for families, e.g., access to laundry facilities, clothing, and transportation.

Focus 4 : Educator and Staff Capacity


Improving learning experiences for every child in every classroom.

Why Educator and Staff Capacity?



All Maryland teachers are evaluated annually. Between school years 2015 and 2018, nearly 97% of percent of Maryland’s teachers were rated as highly effective or effective. Disparities between student groups on performance outcome measures, however, have remained largely unchanged. Focusing on creating more equitable school systems will create an environment in which Maryland students can be successful.

97% of Teachers are Rated Highly Effective or Effective



The overwhelming majority of Maryland teachers are rated as highly effective or effective. This raises questions when contrasted with the student achievement gap as measured by state assessments. This Guide provides recommended action steps for local school systems and schools to address the discordance between teacher ratings and student performance.



How to apply the Equity Lens

In order to ensure that all students feel welcome and valued at school, educators and staff must work to dismantle the barriers that have traditionally interfered with students' ability to reach their potential. Part of that work includes examining implicit biases that have the potential to harm students, incorporating social-emotional academic development (SEAD) strategies, evidence-based instructional strategies, and professional learning (PL) opportunities to master the skills and strategies that have successfully promoted growth and achievement of students from all backgrounds.

Actions

Promote positive student outcomes through the integration of cultural responsiveness and social, emotional, and academic development (SEAD).

LSS Level :

- Incorporate tenets of social-emotional learning into academic instruction.
 - Create a model for social-emotional implementation that includes trauma-informed/healing-centered strategies.
 - Develop high-quality curriculum that is aligned to standards and inclusive of students' backgrounds and cultures.
- Provide tailored and differentiated Professional Learning (PL) to build capacity for cultural responsiveness and working with diverse student populations.
 - Provide PL on social-emotional learning, mental health, and trauma.
 - Prioritize equity-focused training for all staff that addresses implicit bias and privilege.
- Align inclusive pedagogy and positive behavior support with the evaluation of teachers.
 - Train leaders to provide high-quality feedback and differentiated PL.
 - Coach teachers to evaluate their effectiveness with every student.

School Level :

- Incorporate student voices into PL to support cultural responsiveness of school staff.
 - Use LSS or school climate survey data to examine student perceptions of cultural responsiveness.
 - Create avenues for student input on policy development.
 - Add diverse student representation to leadership and school improvement teams.
- Provide training and support for teachers working with high-needs classrooms.
 - Create structures/systems to support new teachers so that they acculturate to the school's climate.
 - Utilize teacher mentors and communities of practice to support educator development.
 - Incorporate learning walks as a strategy to observe instruction, provide feedback, and support teachers in adjusting practice.

Key Terms

Accountability measures

Maryland accountability framework indicators in place to guarantee oversight of opportunities, resources, and educational rigor that will lead to achievement for all students.

Cultural responsiveness

Pedagogy that recognizes the importance of including culture in all aspects of learning through equitable and inclusive practices that promote high expectations.

Educational equity

Granting every student access to the opportunities, resources, and educational rigor they need throughout their educational career to maximize academic success and social and emotional well-being, and viewing each student's individual characteristics as valuable.

Educational opportunities

Those Maryland accountability framework indicators in place to guarantee oversight of opportunities, resources, and educational rigor that will lead to achievement for all students.

Grow Your Own programs

A teacher recruitment program that starts at the secondary level or earlier in order to foster interest in teaching as a profession. These programs may also recruit from professional ranks. Models are varied but are usually a partnership between local school systems, teacher preparation programs, and state education agencies.

Equity Lens

For any program, practice, decision, or action, the impact on all students is addressed, with strategic focus on marginalized student groups. Individual characteristics include:

- Ability (cognitive, social/ emotional, and physical)
- Ethnicity
- Family structure
- Gender identity and expression
- Language
- National origin
- Nationality
- Race
- Religion
- Sexual Orientation
- Socioeconomic Status

Social and emotional well-being

The ability to be resilient and generate the emotions that lead to good feelings including the ability to communicate and develop meaningful relationships with others.

Trauma-informed/healing centered training

Professional learning that equips educators with the skills to be able to identify and support students who are experiencing trauma. Healing centered strategies use a holistic approach to restore and build resilience.



Resources to support each of the focus areas can be found here:

<http://marylandpublicschools.org/programs/Pages/EEE/index.aspx>

Maryland Network for Equity and Excellence in Education

LEADERSHIP

Razia Kosi, Co-Chair

Facilitator, Office of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

razia_kosi@hcpss.org

410-313-1254

Laurie Namey, Co-Chair

Supervisor of Equity & Cultural Proficiency

laurie.namey@hcps.org

410-809-6064

LOCAL SCHOOL SYSTEMS

ALLEGANY

John Logsdon, Jr.

Supervisor of Federal Programs

john.logsdonjr@acpsmd.org

301-759-2046

ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY

Loreno Hughes, Ph.D.

Senior Manager for School Support & Equitable Practices

lhughes@aacps.org

410-226-3373

Miesha Walker

Specialist for School & Community Partnerships

mtwalker@aacps.org

410-266-3394

BALTIMORE CITY

Tracey L. Durant, Ed.D.

Director of Equity

tl Durant@bcps.k12.md.us

443-642-4278

BALTIMORE COUNTY

Lisa Williams, Ed.D.

Executive Director, Department of Equity and Cultural Proficiency

lwilliams13@bcps.org

443-809-2444

Jennifer Audlin

Specialist, Department of Equity and Cultural Proficiency

jaudlin@bcps.org

443-809-9264

CALVERT COUNTY

Sandy Walker

Supervisor of Equity and School Improvement

walkers@calvertnet.k12.md.us

443-550-8004

CAROLINE COUNTY

Nicole Fisher

Supervisor of Alternate Programs

fisher.nicole@ccpsstaff.org

410-479-0100

CARROLL COUNTY

Judith Jones

Equity and Inclusion Officer

jjones@carrollk12.org

410-386-1680

CECIL COUNTY

James Zimmer

Instructional Coordinator for Social Studies

jzimmer@ccps.org

410-996-2460

CHARLES COUNTY

Kimberly Hairston

Director of Equity and Diversity

khairston@ccboe.com

301-934-7245

DORCHESTER COUNTY

Arcelius Brickhouse

Director of Student Services

brickhousea@dcpsmd.org

410-221-1111, ext. 1003

FREDERICK COUNTY

Eric Louérs-Phillips, DOL

Supervisor of Accelerating Achievement & Equity

eric.phillips@fcps.org

301-644-5271

Toby Heusser

Equity Teacher Specialist

Tobin.Heusser@fcps.org

301-644-5277

GARRET COUNTY

Stephanie Wesolowski

Supervisor of College and Career Readiness

stephanie.wesolowski@garretcountyschools.org

301-334-7656

HARFORD COUNTY

Laurie Namey

Supervisor of Equity & Cultural Proficiency

laurie.namey@hcps.org

410-809-6064

HOWARD COUNTY

John Krownapple

Coordinator, Office of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

john_krownapple@hcpss.org

410-313-1560

Razia Kosi

Facilitator, Office of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion

razia_kosi@hcpss.org

410-313-1254

KENT COUNTY**Angela Holocker, Ed.D.***Coordinator of Student Services*aholocker@kent.k12.md.us

410-778-7167

MONTGOMERY COUNTY**Troy Boddy***Director I*troy_boddy@mcpsmd.org

240-740-4070

PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY**Sheila Jackson***Director, Family & Community
Engagement Supervisor of Student
Support Services*

301-618-7356

QUEEN ANNE'S COUNTY**Brad Engel***Supervisor of Student
Support Services*brad.engel@qacps.org**ST. MARY'S COUNTY****Colleen Gill***Instructional Facilitator of Specialized
Teacher Programs*cmgill@smcps.org

301-475-5511, ext. 32257

SOMERSET COUNTY**Tracey Cottman***Supervisor of Student Services*tcottman@somerset.k12.md.us

410-621-6239

Tom Davis*Deputy Superintendent*tdavis@somerset.k12.md.us

410-621-6277

TALBOT COUNTY**Helga Einhorn, Ph.D.***Assistant Superintendent
for Instruction*heinhorn@talbotschools.org

410-822-0330

WASHINGTON COUNTY**Paula Moore***Supervisor of ESOL and World
Languages*moorepau@wcps.k12.md.us

301-766-2977

WICOMICO COUNTY**Judylynn Mitchell, Ed.D***Supervisor of Educational Equity
Pre-K-12*jmitchel@wcboe.org

410-667-4401

WORCESTER COUNTY**Shirleen Church***Coordinator of Instruction for
Multicultural Education*smchurch@mail.worcester.k12.md.us

410-632-5091

COMMUNITY PARTNERS

THE EQUITY EDUCATION CENTER @ MID-ATLANTIC EQUITY CONSORTIUM**Karmen Rouland, Ph.D.***Associate Director of Technical
Assistance & Training*karmen@maec.org

301-657-7741

Pamela Higgins Harris*Senior Lead Consultant,
Education Equity*pchh@maec.org**MARYLAND STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION**

Carol A. Williamson, Ed.D.*Deputy Superintendent, Teaching
& Learning*carol.williamson@maryland.gov

410-767-0651

Susan C. Spinnato*Director, Instructional Programs*susan.spinnato@maryland.gov

410-767-0349

Mary Howlett-Brandon, Ph.D.*Lead Equity and Cultural
Proficiency Specialist*mary.howlett-brandon@maryland.gov

410-767-0367

Mary M. Cross, Ph.D.*Education Program Specialist/
Coordinator, Division of Curriculum,
Instructional Improvement, and
Professional Learning*mary.cross@maryland.gov

410-767-0281

Brain Eyer, Ed.D.*Leadership Development Specialist*brian.eyer@maryland.gov

410-767-0725

Marcia A. Sprankle, Ed.D.*Assistant State Superintendent,
Curriculum, Instructional Programs,
and Professional Learning*marcia.sprankle@maryland.gov

410-767-0315

MARYLAND STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

KAREN B. SALMON, Ph.D.

State Superintendent of Schools

BRIGADIER GENERAL WARNER I. SUMPTER, USA, Ret.

President, Maryland State Board of Education

LARRY HOGAN

Governor

200 West Baltimore Street | Baltimore, Maryland 21201

MarylandPublicSchools.org



The Maryland State Department of Education does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, sex, age, national origin, religion, or disability in matters affecting employment or in providing access to programs. For inquiries related to departmental policy, please contact the Equity Assurance and Compliance Branch:

Phone 410-767-0433, TTY 410-767-0426, Fax 410-767-0431.

REV 2/22/17



The contents of this guidebook were developed under a grant from the Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.